Network Working Group Internet-Draft

Intended status: Informational

Expires: August 22, 2013

T.B. Terriberry Mozilla Corporation February 18, 2013

Example IPR License Terms draft-terriberry-ipr-license-00

Abstract

This draft gives provides an example set of licensing terms for use in IPR disclosures that are compatible with the goals of the proposed video-codec working group for further discussion and refinement by participants at the IETF. Although usage of such a license is strictly voluntary, the hope is that getting agreement on a set of terms before the bulk of the work begins will allow contributors to use a common license and minimize the amount of legal analysis that must be performed in order to deploy the codec.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 22, 2013.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2013 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP-78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must

include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Int	roduction	n.																	2
		License																		
<u>2</u> .	<u>.1</u> .	License	Grar	١t																2
2.	<u>2</u> .	Definiti	ions																	<u>3</u>
2.	<u>.3</u> .	Terminat	tion																	<u>3</u>
<u>3</u> .	Dis	cussion																		4
<u>3</u> .	<u>1</u> .	Scope of	f the	9 (ìra	nt														4
<u>3</u> .	<u>.2</u> .	Terminat	tion																	4
<u>3</u> .	<u>.3</u> .	Open Iss	sues																	4
3.3.1. A Reciprocity Clause														4						
	3.3.2. Defensive Suspension																			4
<u>4</u> .	Ack	nowledgme	ents																	<u>5</u>
<u>5</u> .	Ref	erences																		<u>5</u>
Auth	nor':	s Address	s.																	5

1. Introduction

The goal of this license, using the words of the current video-codec charter [1], is to allow the contribution of IPR to a standard which "can be widely implemented and easily distributed among application developers, service operators, and end users. The objective is to produce a codec that can be implemented, distributed, and deployed by open source and closed source software and hardware vendors, without the need to request a license, enter into a business agreement, pay licensing fees or royalties, or attempt to adhere to other onerous conditions or restrictions."

This goal, particularly the compatibility with open-source licenses, precludes many forms of normal IPR licensing, including the requirement for each licensor to explicitly request a license or perform some overt act to activate a license. The terms here also attempt to provide some amount of safety to those implementing standard from others also implementing the same standard, through the use of a defensive termination clause.

Although this license is designed specifically for use with the video-codec effort, the terms have been kept generic enough to allow it to be used elsewhere.

2. The License

2.1. License Grant

_____ ("Licensor") hereby grants to you a perpetual, worldwide, non-exclusive, royalty-free, irrevocable (except as stated in the "Termination" section below) license to make, have made, use, sell, offer to sell, import and otherwise transfer any Implementation or portion thereof, under all Necessary Claims of Licensor (the "License").

2.2. Definitions

"Original Specification" means <u>draft-ietf</u>-_____.

"Reference Implementation" means the implementation of the Original Specification made publicly available at .

"Specification" means the Original Specification or, at your option, any future update or new version of that specification issued as an RFC (Request for Comments) by the IETF (Internet Engineering Task Force).

"Implementation" means any implementation (including the Reference Implementation) that complies fully with all non-optional portions of the Specification.

"Licensee" means any party that has received the License, provided the License to such party has not been terminated under the "Termination" section below.

"Necessary Claims" of a party means all claims of patents or patent applications that, (a) currently or at any time in the future, (i) are owned or controlled by such party or its Affiliates, or (ii) for which such party or its Affiliates has the authority to grant the License without the requirement to pay a royalty to any party other than an Affiliate, and (b) (i) for which there is no commercially viable means of implementing the Original Specification, including any optional portion thereof, without infringing such claims; or (ii) are infringed by the use of the Reference Implementation.

"Affiliate" is any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with a party.

2.3. Termination

If you, directly or indirectly, including via an Affiliate, subsidiary, agent, or exclusive licensee, (a) file an action for infringement of a Necessary Claim, or (b) make any other written claim of infringement of a Necessary Claim, against any Licensee alleging that any Implementation, in whole or in part, constitutes direct or contributory patent infringement, or inducement of patent

Internet-Draft IPR License February 2013

infringement, then any patent rights granted to you and your Affiliates under this License shall automatically terminate retroactively as of the date you first received the License.

3. Discussion

3.1. Scope of the Grant

The scope of the grant is defined by two pieces: a specific draft (the "Original Specification") and a specific implementation (the "Reference Implementation"). The idea is to cover both the algorithms and methods that are required for interoperability (e.g., for a video codec, the decoder specification), plus at least one set of algorithms and methods which can produce a useful implementation (such as an encoder, packet loss concealment (PLC) in the decoder, etc.). Although people may still compete on making more optimized decoders, better encoders or better PLC, they are guaranteed that use of the reference implementation, at least, is safe.

The "Original Specification" and "Reference Implementation" which define the scope of the grant are also kept separate from the "Specification" and "Implementation" which define how the licensed claims may be used. This allows change control over what the standard says to remain with the IETF, while ensuring that the scope of the grant is not open-ended, so parties contributing their IPR know what they are giving.

3.2. Termination

The termination clause protects a Licensee from infringement claims by other Licensees. Any Licensee that tries to assert patent claims against another Licensee will lose their own license, and find themselves exposed to potential lawsuits from all other Licensees. This is designed to minimize defections after the standard is deployed.

3.3. Open Issues

3.3.1. A Reciprocity Clause

The license currently does not define a "reciprocity clause", wherein Licensees give other Licensees an explicit license back to any IPR they might own. Instead it relies on the termination clause to achieve a similar, though not identical effect. Reciprocity clauses have become more normal in recent patent licenses, and it may be worth adding one here.

3.3.2. Defensive Suspension

We have received some early feedback that our defensive termination clause may be too strong to qualify as RAND. Another alternative is defensive suspension, wherein parties simply agree not to enforce their patents unless they themselves are sued. We are still studying this issue.

4. Acknowledgments

Thanks to those who provided feedback on early drafts of this license.

References

Author's Address

Timothy B. Terriberry Mozilla Corporation 650 Castro Street Mountain View, CA 94041 USA

Phone: +1 650 903-0800 Email: tterribe@xiph.org