

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: July 27, 2012

J. Snell
January 24, 2012

**The Atom "deleted-entry" Element
draft-snell-atompub-tombstones-14.txt**

Abstract

This specification adds mechanisms to the Atom Syndication Format which publishers of Atom Feed and Entry documents can use to explicitly identify Atom entries that have been removed.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on July 27, 2012.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2012 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	3
2.	Notational Conventions	3
3.	The at:deleted-entry element	3
4.	Deleted Entry Document	5
5.	Digital Signatures	6
6.	Encryption	7
7.	Security Considerations	7
8.	IANA Considerations	8
9.	Acknowledgements	9
10.	Normative References	9
	Author's Address	10

1. Introduction

This specification adds mechanisms to the Atom Syndication Format which publishers of Atom Feed and Entry documents can use to explicitly identify Atom entries that have been removed.

2. Notational Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [\[RFC2119\]](#).

This specification uses XML Namespaces [\[W3C.REC-xml-names-19990114\]](#) to uniquely identify XML element names. It uses the following namespace prefix for the indicated namespace URI;

"at": "http://purl.org/atompub/tombstones/1.0"

3. The at:deleted-entry element

The at:deleted-entry element represents an Atom Entry that has been removed.

```
deletedEntry =  
  element at:deleted-entry {  
    atomCommonAttributes,  
    attribute ref { atomUri },  
    attribute when { atomDateConstruct },  
    ( element at:by { atomPersonConstruct }?  
    & element at:comment { atomTextConstruct }?  
    & element atom:link { atomLink }*  
    & element atom:source { atomSource }?  
    & anyElement* )  
  }
```

The at:deleted-entry element MUST contain a ref attribute whose value specifies the value of the atom:id of the entry that has been removed.

The at:deleted-entry element MUST contain a when attribute whose value is an [\[RFC3339\]](#) "date-time" specifying the instant the entry was removed. An uppercase "T" character MUST be used to separate date and time, and an uppercase "Z" character MUST be present in the absence of a numeric time zone offset

The at:deleted-entry element MAY contain one at:by element used to

identify the entity that removed the entry. The `at:by` element is an Atom Person Construct as defined by [Section 3.2 of \[RFC4287\]](#).

The `at:deleted-entry` element MAY contain one `at:comment` element whose value provides additional, language-sensitive information about the deletion operation. The `atom:comment` element is an Atom Text Construct as defined by [Section 3.1 of \[RFC4287\]](#).

The `at:deleted-entry` element MAY contain any number of `atom:link` elements as specified by [Section 4.2.7 of \[RFC4287\]](#).

The `at:deleted-entry` element MAY contain one `atom:source` element as defined by [Section 4.2.11 of \[RFC4287\]](#). Within the context of an `at:deleted-entry` element, the `atom:source` element is intended to allow the aggregation of `at:deleted-entry` element from different feeds while retaining information about an `at:deleted-entry`'s source feed. When an `at:deleted-entry` element appears in a Feed document other than its source Feed or when an `at:deleted-entry` element that has a source Feed document is used in the context of a Deleted Entry Document, it MUST contain an `atom:source` element.

An Atom feed MAY contain any number of `at:deleted-entry` elements, but MUST NOT contain more than one with the same combination of `ref` and `when` attribute values.

```
<feed xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2005/Atom"
      xmlns:at="http://purl.org/atompub/tombstones/1.0">
  ...
  <!-- Minimal deleted-entry -->
  <at:deleted-entry
    ref="tag:example.org,2005:/entries/1"
    when="2005-11-29T12:11:12Z"/>

  <!-- Extended deleted-entry -->
  <at:deleted-entry
    ref="tag:example.org,2005:/entries/2"
    when="2005-11-29T12:11:12Z">
    <at:by>
      <name>John Doe</name>
      <email>jdoe@example.org</email>
    </at:by>
    <at:comment>Removed comment spam</at:comment>
  </at:deleted-entry>
  ...
</feed>
```

An Atom feed MAY contain `atom:entry` elements and `at:deleted-entry` elements sharing the same `atom:id` value. Atom processors SHOULD

ignore any `at:deleted-entry` elements sharing an `atom:id` value with an `atom:entry` whose `atom:updated` element specifies a date and time more recent than or equal to the `at:deleted-entry` element's `when` value.

Implementors should note that the `at:deleted-entry` element is informative in nature only and may be ignored by Atom processors. The presence of an `at:deleted-entry` element does not guarantee that the `atom:entry` to which it is referring will no longer be available.

Elements and attributes from any XML vocabulary MAY be used within an `at:deleted-entry` element. Processors encountering such markup MUST NOT stop processing or signal an error. It might be the case that the Processor is able to process the foreign markup correctly and does so. When unknown markup is encountered as a child of `at:deleted-entry`, Processors MAY bypass the markup and any textual content and MUST NOT change their behavior as a result of the markup's presence.

This specification allows the use of IRIs [[RFC3987](#)] in precisely the same manner specified in [Section 2 of \[RFC4287\]](#).

Any element defined by this specification MAY have an `xml:base` attribute [[W3C.REC-xmlbase-20010627](#)]. When `xml:base` is used, it serves the function described in [section 5.1.1 of \[RFC3986\]](#), establishing the base URI (or IRI) for resolving any relative references found within the effective scope of the `xml:base` attribute.

Any element defined by this specification MAY have an `xml:lang` attribute, whose content indicates the natural language for the element and its descendents. Requirements regarding the content and interpretation of `xml:lang` are specified in XML 1.0 [[W3C.REC-xml-20040204](#)], Section 2.12.

4. Deleted Entry Document

A "Deleted Entry Document" represents exactly one `at:deleted-entry` element outside the context of an Atom feed. Its root is the `at:deleted-entry` element.

```
namespace at = "http://purl.org/atompub/tombstones/1.0"
start = at:deleted-entry
```

Deleted Entry Documents are specified in terms of the XML Information Set, serialized as XML 1.0 [[W3C.REC-xml-20040204](#)] and identified with the "application/atomdeleted+xml" media type. Deleted Entry Documents MUST be well-formed XML. This specification does not

define a DTD for Deleted Entry Documents, and hence does not require them to be valid (in the sense used by XML).

5. Digital Signatures

The `at:deleted-entry` element MAY have an Enveloped Signature, as described by XML-Signature and Syntax Processing [[W3C.REC-xmlsig-core-20020212](#)].

Processors MUST NOT reject an `at:deleted-entry` containing such a signature because they are not capable of verifying it; they MUST continue processing and MAY inform the user of their failure to validate the signature.

In other words, the presence of an element with the namespace URI "<http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmlsig#>" and a local name of "Signature" as a child of the document element MUST NOT cause an Processor to fail merely because of its presence.

Section 6.5.1 of [[W3C.REC-xmlsig-core-20020212](#)] requires support for Canonical XML [[W3C.REC-xml-c14n-20010315](#)]. However, many implementers do not use it because signed XML documents enclosed in other XML documents have their signatures broken. Thus, Processors that verify signed `at:deleted-entry` elements MUST be able to canonicalize with the exclusive XML canonicalization method identified by the URI "<http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#>", as specified in Exclusive XML Canonicalization [[W3C.REC-xml-exc-c14n-20020718](#)].

Intermediaries such as aggregators may need to add an `atom:source` element to an `at:deleted-entry` that does not contain its own `atom:source` element. If such an entry is signed, the addition will break the signature. Thus, a publisher of individually-signed `at:deleted-entry`'s should strongly consider adding an `atom:source` element to those elements before signing them. Implementers should also be aware of the issues concerning the use of markup in the "xml:" namespace as it interacts with canonicalization.

Section 4.4.2 of [[W3C.REC-xmlsig-core-20020212](#)] requires support for DSA signatures and recommends support for RSA signatures. However, because of the much greater popularity in the market of RSA versus DSA, Atom Processors that verify signed Atom Documents MUST be able to verify RSA signatures, but do not need be able to verify DSA signatures. Due to security issues that can arise if the keying material for message authentication code (MAC) authentication is not handled properly, Atom Documents SHOULD NOT use MACs for signatures.

6. Encryption

The root of a Deleted Entry Document (the `at:deleted-entry` element) MAY be encrypted, using the mechanisms described by XML Encryption Syntax and Processing [[W3C.REC-xmlenc-core-20021210](#)].

Section 5.1 of [[W3C.REC-xmlenc-core-20021210](#)] requires support of TripleDES, AES-128, and AES-256. Processors that decrypt Deleted Entry Documents MUST be able to decrypt with AES-128 in Cipher Block Chaining (CBC) mode.

Encryption based on [[W3C.REC-xmlenc-core-20021210](#)] does not ensure integrity of the original document. There are known cryptographic attacks where someone who cannot decrypt a message can still change bits in a way where part or all the decrypted message makes sense but has a different meaning. Thus, Processors that decrypt Deleted Entry Documents SHOULD check the integrity of the decrypted document by verifying the hash in the signature (if any) in the document, or by verifying a hash of the document within the document (if any).

When a Deleted Entry Document is to be both signed and encrypted, it is generally a good idea to first sign the document, then encrypt the signed document. This provides integrity to the base document while encrypting all the information, including the identity of the entity that signed the document. Note that, if MACs are used for authentication, the order MUST be that the document is signed and then encrypted, and not the other way around.

7. Security Considerations

As specified in [[RFC4287](#)], Atom processors should be aware of the potential for spoofing attacks where an attacker publishes `atom:entry` or `atom:deleted-entry` elements using the same `atom:id` values as entries from other Atom feeds. An attacker may attempt to trick an application into believing that a given entry has either been removed from or added to a feed. To mitigate this issue, Atom processors are advised to ignore `at:deleted-entry` elements referencing entries that have not previously appeared within the containing Feed document and should take steps to verify the origin of the Atom feed before considering the entries to be removed.

The `at:deleted-entry` element can be encrypted and signed using [[W3C.REC-xmlenc-core-20021210](#)] and [[W3C.REC-xmldsig-core-20020212](#)], respectively, and are subject to the security considerations implied by their use.

Digital signatures provide authentication, message integrity, and

non-repudiation with proof of origin. Encryption provides data confidentiality.

An application supporting the use of digitally signed atom:entry and at:deleted-entry elements should be aware of the potential issues that could arise if a at:deleted-entry element indicating the deletion of an atom:entry element has been signed using a different key than what was used to sign the atom:entry, or when an unsigned at:deleted-entry is used to indicate the deletion of a signed atom:entry. Either case can potentially indicate a form of spoofing attack. Processors must take steps to verify the validity of the at:deleted-entry element.

8. IANA Considerations

A Deleted Entry Document, when serialized as XML 1.0, can be identified with the following media type:

Type name: application

Subtype name: atomdeleted+xml

Required parameters: None

Optional parameters: "charset" : This parameter has semantics identical to the charset parameter of the "application/xml" media type as specified in [\[RFC3023\]](#).

Encoding considerations: Identical to those of "application/xml" as described in [\[RFC3023\]](#), [Section 3.2](#).

Security considerations: As defined in this specification. In addition, as this media type uses the "+xml" convention, it shares the same security considerations as described in [\[RFC3023\]](#), [Section 10](#).

Interoperability considerations: There are no known interoperability issues.

Published specification: This specification.

Applications that use this media type: Undefined. As an extension to the Atom Syndication Format ([\[RFC4287\]](#)), this specification may be used within any application that uses the Atom Format.

Additional information:

Magic number(s): As specified for "application/xml" in [\[RFC3023\]](#), [Section 3.2](#)

File extension(s): .atomdeleted

Macintosh file type code(s): TEXT

Person & email address to contact for further information: James M Snell <jasnell@us.ibm.com>

Intended usage: COMMON

Restrictions on usage: None.

Author: James M Snell <jasnell@us.ibm.com>
Change controller: IESG

9. Acknowledgements

The author gratefully acknowledges the feedback from the members of the Atom Publishing Format and Protocol working group during the development of this specification.

10. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC3023] Murata, M., St. Laurent, S., and D. Kohn, "XML Media Types", [RFC 3023](#), January 2001.
- [RFC3339] Klyne, G., Ed. and C. Newman, "Date and Time on the Internet: Timestamps", [RFC 3339](#), July 2002.
- [RFC3986] Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax", STD 66, [RFC 3986](#), January 2005.
- [RFC3987] Duerst, M. and M. Suignard, "Internationalized Resource Identifiers (IRIs)", [RFC 3987](#), January 2005.
- [RFC4287] Nottingham, M., Ed. and R. Sayre, Ed., "The Atom Syndication Format", [RFC 4287](#), December 2005.
- [W3C.REC-xml-20040204]
Yergeau, F., Maler, E., Sperberg-McQueen, C., Paoli, J., and T. Bray, "Extensible Markup Language (XML) 1.0 (Third Edition)", World Wide Web Consortium FirstEdition REC-xml-20040204, February 2004,
<<http://www.w3.org/TR/2004/REC-xml-20040204>>.
- [W3C.REC-xml-c14n-20010315]
Boyer, J., "Canonical XML Version 1.0", World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation REC-xml-c14n-20010315, March 2001,
<<http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xml-c14n-20010315>>.
- [W3C.REC-xml-exc-c14n-20020718]
Reagle, J., 3rd, D., and J. Boyer, "Exclusive XML Canonicalization Version 1.0", World Wide Web Consortium

Recommendation REC-xml-exc-c14n-20020718, July 2002,
<<http://www.w3.org/TR/2002/REC-xml-exc-c14n-20020718>>.

[W3C.REC-xml-names-19990114]

Hollander, D., Bray, T., and A. Layman, "Namespaces in XML", World Wide Web Consortium FirstEdition REC-xml-names-19990114, January 1999,
<<http://www.w3.org/TR/1999/REC-xml-names-19990114>>.

[W3C.REC-xmlbase-20010627]

Marsh, J., "XML Base", World Wide Web Consortium FirstEdition REC-xmlbase-20010627, June 2001,
<<http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/REC-xmlbase-20010627>>.

[W3C.REC-xmlsig-core-20020212]

Solo, D., Reagle, J., and D. Eastlake, "XML-Signature Syntax and Processing", World Wide Web Consortium FirstEdition REC-xmlsig-core-20020212, February 2002,
<<http://www.w3.org/TR/2002/REC-xmlsig-core-20020212>>.

[W3C.REC-xmlenc-core-20021210]

Eastlake, D. and J. Reagle, "XML Encryption Syntax and Processing", World Wide Web Consortium Recommendation REC-xmlenc-core-20021210, December 2002,
<<http://www.w3.org/TR/2002/REC-xmlenc-core-20021210>>.

Author's Address

James M Snell

Phone:

Email: jasnell@us.ibm.com

URI: <http://ibm.com>