Network Working Group Internet-Draft

Afilias Expires: December 20, 2006 June 18, 2006

I'm Being Attacked by PRISONER.IANA.ORG! draft-jabley-as112-being-attacked-help-help-00.txt

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with Section 6 of BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

This Internet-Draft will expire on December 20, 2006.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006).

Abstract

Many sites connected to the Internet make use of IPv4 addresses which are not globally unique. Examples are the addresses designated in RFC1918 for private use within individual sites.

Hosts should never normally send reverse DNS queries for those addresses on the public Internet. However, such queries are frequently observed. Authority servers are deployed to provide authoritative answers to such queries as part of a looselycoordinated effort known as the AS112 project.

J. Abley

Since queries sent to AS112 servers are usually not intentional, the replies received back from those servers are typically unexpected. Unexpected inbound traffic can trigger alarms on intrusion detection systems and firewalls, and operators of such systems often mistakenly believe that they are being attacked.

This document provides background information and technical advice to those firewall operators.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Introduction	
<u>2</u> .	Private-Use Addresses	4
<u>3</u> .	Reverse DNS	
<u>4</u> .	Reverse DNS for Private-Use Addresses	<u>6</u>
<u>5</u> .	AS112 Nameservers	7
<u>6</u> .	Inbound Traffic from AS112 Servers	8
<u>7</u> .	Corrective Measures	9
<u>8</u> .	AS112 Contact Information $\underline{1}$	<u>0</u>
<u>9</u> .	IANA Considerations	1
<u>10</u> .	Security Considerations $\underline{1}$	<u>2</u>
<u>11</u> .	References	<u>3</u>
11	<u>l.1</u> . Normative References	<u>3</u>
11	<u>1.2</u> . Informative References	<u>3</u>
Appe	<u>endix A</u> . Change History	4
Auth	nor's Address	<u>5</u>
Inte	ellectual Property and Copyright Statements $ extstyle ext$	6

1. Introduction

Readers of this document may well have experienced an alarm from a firewall or an intrusion-detection system, triggered by unexpected inbound traffic from the Internet. The traffic probably appeared to originate from one of the following hosts:

- o PRISONER.IANA.ORG (192.175.48.1)
- o BLACKHOLE-1.IANA.ORG (192.175.48.6)
- o BLACKHOLE-2.IANA.ORG (192.175.48.42)

The published contacts for those hosts may well have suggested that you consult this document.

If you are following up on such an event, you are encouraged to follow your normal security procedures and take whatever action you consider to be be appropriate. This document contains information which may assist you.

Private-Use Addresses

Many sites connected to the Internet make use of address blocks designated in [RFC1918] for private use. Examples of such addresses are 10.1.30.20, 172.18.24.100 and 192.168.1.1.

Because these ranges of addresses are used by many sites all over the world, each individual address can only ever have local significance. For example, the host numbered 192.168.18.234 in one site almost certainly has nothing to do with a host with the same address located in a different site.

3. Reverse DNS

The Domain Name System (DNS) [RFC1034] can be used to obtain a name for a particular network address. The process by which this happens is as follows:

- 1. The network address is rearranged in order to construct a name which can be looked up in the DNS. For example, the IPv4 address 10.3.70.25 corresponds to the DNS name 25.70.3.10.IN-ADDR.ARPA.
- 2. A DNS query is constructed for that name, requesting a DNS record of the type "PTR".
- 3. The DNS query is sent to a resolver.
- 4. If a response is received in response to the query, the answer will typically indicate either the hostname corresponding to the network address, or the fact that no hostname can be found.

This procedure is generally carried out automatically by software, and is hence largely hidden from users and administrators. Applications might have reason to look up an IP address in order to gather extra information for a log file, for example.

4. Reverse DNS for Private-Use Addresses

As noted in <u>Section 2</u>, private-use addresses have only local significance. This means that sending queries out to the Internet is not sensible: there is no way for the public DNS to provide a useful answer to a question which has no global meaning.

Despite the fact that the public DNS cannot provide answers, many sites have misconfigurations in the way they connect to the Internet which results to such queries relating to internal infrastructure being sent outside the site. From the perspective of the public DNS, these queries are junk -- they cannot be answered usefully and result in unnecessary traffic being received by the nameservers which underpin the operation of the public DNS (the so-called root servers).

To isolate this traffic, and reduce the load on the rest of the DNS infrastructure, dedicated servers have been deployed in the Internet to receive and reply to these junk queries. These servers are deployed in many places in a loosely-coordinated effort known as the "AS112 Project". More details about the AS112 Project can be found at <http://www.as112.net/>.

5. AS112 Nameservers

The nameservers responsible for answering queries relating to private-use addresses are as follows:

- o PRISONER.IANA.ORG (192.175.48.1)
- o BLACKHOLE-1.IANA.ORG (192.175.48.6)
- o BLACKHOLE-2.IANA.ORG (192.175.48.42)

A request sent to one of these servers will result in a response being returned to the client. The response will typically be a UDP datagram, although it's perfectly valid for requests to be made over TCP. In both cases the source port of packets returning to the site which originated the DNS request will be 53.

6. Inbound Traffic from AS112 Servers

Where firewalls or intrusion detection systems (IDS) are configured to block traffic received from AS112 servers, superficial review of the traffic may seem alarming to site administrators.

- o Since requests directed ultimately to AS112 servers are usually triggered automatically by applications, review of firewall logs may indicate a large number of policy violations occurring over an extended period of time.
- o Where responses from AS112 servers are blocked by firewalls, hosts will often retry, often with a relatively high frequency. This can cause inbound traffic to be misclassified as a denial-ofservice (DoS) attack. In some case the source ports used by individual hosts for successive retries increases in a predictable fashion (e.g. monotonically), which can cause the replies from the AS112 server to resemble a port scan.
- o A site administrator may attempt to perform active measurement of the remote host in response to alarms raised by inbound traffic, e.g. initiating a port scan in order to gather information about the host which is apparently attacking the site. Such a scan will usually result in additional inbound traffic to the site performing the measurement, e.g. an apparent flood of ICMP messages which may trigger additional firewall alarms and obfuscate the process of identifying the original problem traffic.

7. Corrective Measures

A site which receives responses from one of the nameservers listed in Section 5 is probably under no immediate danger, and the traffic associated with those responses probably requires no emergency action by the site concerned. However, this document cannot aspire to dictate the security policy of individual sites, and it is recognised that many sites will have perfectly valid policies which dictate that corrective measures should be taken to stop the responses from AS112 servers.

It should be noted, however, that the operators of AS112 nameservers which are generating the responses described in this document are not ultimately responsible for the inbound traffic received by the site: that traffic is generated in response to gueries which are sent out from the site, and so the only effective measures to stop the inbound traffic is to prevent the original queries from being made.

Possible measures which might be taken to prevent these queries include:

- 1. Stop hosts from making these reverse DNS gueries in the first place. In some cases servers can be configured not to perform reverse DNS lookups, for example. As a general site-wide approach, however, this measure is frequently difficult to implement due to the large number of hosts and applications involved.
- 2. Block reverse DNS gueries to the AS112 servers from leaving the site using firewalls between the site and the Internet. Although this might appear to be sensible, such a measure might have unintended consequences: the inability to receive an answer to reverse DNS queries might lead to long DNS lookup timeouts, for example, which could cause applications to malfunction.
- 3. Configure all DNS resolvers in the site to answer authoritatively for the zones corresponding to the private-use address blocks in use. This should prevent resolvers from ever needing to send these queries to the public DNS. Guidance and recommendations for this aspect of resolver configuration can be found in [I-D.andrews-full-service-resolvers].
- Implement a private AS112 node within the site. Guidance for constructing an AS112 node may be found in [I-D.jabley-as112ops].

8. AS112 Contact Information

Operational contact information for the network addresses of AS112 servers is registered with Regional Internet Registries (RIRs). Readers who continue to have concerns about traffic received from AS112 servers after reading this document are encouraged to contact the AS112 Network Operations Centre.

More information about the AS112 project can be found at <http://www.as112.net/>.

9. IANA Considerations

The AS112 nameservers are all named under the domain IANA.ORG (see <u>Section 5</u>). The IANA is the organisation responsible for the coordination of many technical aspects of the Internet's basic infrastructure. The AS112 project nameservers provide a public service to the Internet which is sanctioned by and operated in coordination with the IANA.

10. Security Considerations

The purpose of this document is to help site administrators properly identify traffic received from AS112 nodes, and to provide background information to allow appropriate measures to be taken in response to it.

Hosts should never normally send queries to AS112 servers: queries relating to private-use addresses should be answered locally within a site. Hosts which send queries to AS112 servers may well leak information relating to private infrastructure to the public network, which could represent a security risk.

11. References

11.1. Normative References

- [RFC1034] Mockapetris, P., "Domain names concepts and facilities", STD 13, RFC 1034, November 1987.
- [RFC1918] Rekhter, Y., Moskowitz, R., Karrenberg, D., Groot, G., and E. Lear, "Address Allocation for Private Internets", BCP 5, RFC 1918, February 1996.

11.2. Informative References

[I-D.andrews-full-service-resolvers]

Andrews, M., "Configuration Issues Facing Full Service DNS Resolvers In The Presence of Private Network Addressing", <u>draft-andrews-full-service-resolvers-02</u> (work in progress), February 2006.

[I-D.jabley-as112-ops]

Abley, J. and W. Maton, "AS112 Nameserver Operations", June 2006.

Appendix A. Change History

This section to be removed prior to publication.

It is proposed that this document be published as an informational RFC.

00 Initial draft.

Author's Address

Joe Abley Afilias Canada Corp. Suite 204, 4141 Yonge Street Toronto, ON M2P 2A8 Canada

Phone: +1 416 673 4176

Email: jabley@ca.afilias.info

Intellectual Property Statement

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at http://www.ietf.org/ipr.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

Disclaimer of Validity

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006). This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in BCP 78, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.