NETWORK WORKING GROUP

Internet-Draft

Intended status: Standards Track

Expires: October 1, 2017

N. Williams Cryptonector LLC A. Melnikov Isode Ltd March 30, 2017

# Namespace Considerations and Registries for GSS-API Extensions draft-ietf-kitten-gssapi-extensions-iana-11.txt

#### Abstract

This document describes the ways in which the GSS-API may be extended and directs the creation of an IANA registry for various GSS-API namespaces.

#### Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on October 1, 2017.

# Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2017 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

#### Table of Contents

$\underline{1}$ . Conventions used in this document	2
$\underline{2}$ . Introduction	2
3. Extensions to the GSS-API	2
4. Generic GSS-API Namespaces	3
<u>5</u> . Language Binding-Specific GSS-API Namespaces	3
6. Extension-Specific GSS-API Namespaces	4
7. Registration Form	4
8. IANA Considerations	6
8.1. Initial Namespace Registrations	7
8.1.1. Example registrations	7
8.2. Registration Maintenance Guidelines	9
8.2.1. Sub-Namespace Symbol Pattern Matching	10
8.2.2. Expert Reviews of Individual Submissions	10
<u>8.2.3</u> . Change Control	11
9. Security Considerations	12
<u>10</u> . References	12
<u>10.1</u> . Normative References	12
<u>10.2</u> . Informative References	12
Authors' Addresses	13

## 1. Conventions used in this document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

#### 2. Introduction

There is a need for private-use and mechanism-specific extensions to the Generic Security Services Application Programming Interface (GSS-API). As such extensions are designed and standardized (or not), both at the IETF and elsewhere, there is a non-trivial risk of namespace pollution and conflicts. To avoid this we set out guidelines for extending the GSS-API and direct the creation of an IANA registry for GSS-API namespaces.

Registrations of individual items and sub-namespaces are allowed. Each sub-namespace may provide different rules for registration, e.g., for mechanism-specific and private-use extensions.

#### 3. Extensions to the GSS-API

Extensions to the GSS-API can be categorized as follows:

o Abstract API extensions

- o Implementation-specific
- o Mechanism-specific
- o Language binding-specific

Extensions to the GSS-API may be purely semantic, without effect on the GSS-API's namespaces. Or they may introduce new functions, constants, types, etc...; these clearly affect the GSS-API namespaces.

Extensions that affect the GSS-API namespaces should be registered with the IANA as described herein.

## 4. Generic GSS-API Namespaces

The abstract API namespaces for the GSS-API are:

- o Type names
- o Function names
- o Constant names for various types
- o Constant values for various types
- o Name types (OID, type name and syntaxes)

Additionally we have namespaces associates with the OBJECT IDENTIFIER (OID) type. The IANA already maintains a registry of such OIDs:

- o Mechanism OIDs
- o Name Type OIDs

## Language Binding-Specific GSS-API Namespaces

Language binding specific namespaces include, among others:

- o Header/interface module names
- o Object classes and/or types
- o Methods and/or functions
- o Constant names
- o Constant values

# **<u>6</u>**. Extension-Specific GSS-API Namespaces

Extensions to the GSS-API may create additional namespaces. See Section 8.2.

# 7. Registration Form

Registrations for GSS-API namespaces SHALL take the following form:

+	<b>.</b>	<b>-</b> +
Registration   Field	Possible Values 	Description
Bindings             	'Generic',   'C-bindings',   'Java', 'C#',   <programming   language name&gt;  </programming 	Indicates the name of the   programming language that   this registration involves,   or, if 'Generic', that this   is an entry for the generic   abstract GSS-API (i.e., not   specific to any programming   language).
Registration type	'Instance', 'Sub-   Namespace'     	Indicates whether this entry     reserves a given symbol name     (and possibly, constant     value), or whether it     reserves an entire sub-     namespace (the name is a     pattern) or constant value     range.
Object Type	<pre>  <symbol> defined by   the binding   language (for   example 'Data-   Type', 'Function',   'Method',   'Integer',   'String', 'OID',   'Context-Flag',   'Name-Type',   'Macro', 'Header-   File-Name',   'Module-Name',   'Class')</symbol></pre>	Indicates the type of the   object whose symbolic name   or constant value this entry   registers. The possible   values of this field depend   on the programming language   in question, therefore they   are not all specified here.
Symbol   Name/Prefix	<symbol name="" or<br="">  name pattern&gt;</symbol>	The name of a symbol or     symbol sub-namespace being

		registered. See Section   8.2.1
Binding of	<name abstract<br="" of="">  API element of   which this object   is a binding&gt;  </name>	If the registration is for a   specific language binding of   the GSS-API, then this names   the abstract API element of   which it is a binding   (OPTIONAL).
Constant   Value/Range     	<constant value=""> or     <constant value<br="">  range&gt;  </constant></constant>	The value of the constant named by the <symbol name="" prefix="">. This field is present only for Instance and Sub-namespace registrations of Constant object types.</symbol>
Description	<text>    </text>	Description of the registration. Multiple instances of this field may result (see Section 8.2.3).
Registration Rules	<pre>  <reference> to an   IANA registration   Policy defined in   [RFC5226] (or an   RFC that updates   it), for instance   'IESG Approval',   'Expert Review',   'First Come First   Served', 'Private   Use'.</reference></pre>	Describes the rules for allocation of items that fall in this sub-namespace, for entries with Registration Type of Sub-namespace (OPTIONAL). For private use sub-namespaces the submitter MUST provide the e-mail address of a responsible contact. If this field is not specified for a sub-namespace, the default registration rules specified in Section 8.2 apply.
Reference       	<reference></reference>	Reference to a document that   describes the registration,   if any (OPTIONAL). Multiple   instances of this field are   allowed, with one reference   each.
Expert   Reviewer	<name expert<br="" of="">  reviewers, possibly  </name>	OPTIONAL, see <u>Section 8.2.2</u> .   Multiple instances of this

         	WG names>           	field are allowed, with one   expert reviewer per-   instance. Leave this field   blank when requesting a   registration. It will be   filled in by the Expert who   reviews the registration.
Expert Review Notes	<pre>  <notes expert="" from="" review="" the=""  ="">            </notes></pre>	Expert reviewers may request   that some comments be   included with the   registration, e.g.,   regarding security   considerations of the   registered extension.
Status	'Registered' or   'Obsoleted'	Status of the registration.
Obsoleting   Reference     	<reference>            </reference>	Reference to a document, if     any, that obsoletes this     registration. Multiple     instances of this field are     allowed, with one reference     each. (OPTIONAL)

The IANA should create a single GSS-API namespace registry, or multiple registries, one for symbolic names and one for constant values, and/or it may create a registry per-programming language, at its convenience.

Entries in these registries should consist of all the fields from their corresponding registration entries.

Entries should be sorted by: programming language, registration type, object type, and symbol name/pattern.

# 8. IANA Considerations

This document deals with IANA considerations throughout. Specifically it creates a single registry of various kinds of things, though the IANA may instead create multiple registries, each for one of those kinds of things. Of particular interest may be that IANA will now be the registration authority for the GSS-API name type OID space.

## **8.1**. Initial Namespace Registrations

Initial registry content corresponding to the items defined in [RFC2743], [RFC2744], [RFC2853], [RFC1964] and [RFC4121] and others will be supplied during the IANA review portion of the RFC publishing process. [[Note to RFC Editor: Delete the following sentence before publication:]] The KITTEN WG chairs MUST indicate that such content has been reviewed by the WG and that there is WG consensus that the entries are in agreement with those RFCs.

# **8.1.1**. Example registrations

In order to sanity check recommended IANA registration templates, this section registers several entries.

<b>_</b>	L
Registration Field	Possible Values
Bindings	C-bindings
Registration type	Instance
Object Type	Function
Symbol Name	gss_init_sec_context
Binding of	GSS_Init_sec_context
Constant   Value/Range	N/A
Description	Create a security context by initiator
Registration Rules	N/A
Reference	<u>RFC 2744</u>
Expert Reviewer	Kitten WG
Expert Review   Notes	
Status	Registered
Obsoleting   Reference	N/A
	•

ш	L
Registration Field	Possible Values
Bindings	C-bindings
Registration type	•
Object Type	Function
Symbol Name	gss_accept_sec_context
Binding of	GSS_Accept_sec_context
Constant   Value/Range	N/A
Description	Accept a security context from initiator
Registration Rules	•
Reference	<u>RFC 2744</u>
Expert Reviewer	,,
Expert Review   Notes	
Status	Registered
Obsoleting   Reference	N/A
•	•

<b>_</b>	L
Registration Field	Possible Values
Bindings	C-bindings
Registration type	Instance
Object Type	Context-Flag
Symbol Name	GSS_C_DELEG_FLAG
Binding of	deleg_state or deleg_req_flag
Constant   Value/Range	1
Description       	On output (if set): Delegated credentials   are available via the delegated_cred_handle   parameter of GSS_Accept_sec_context. On   input (if set): With the call to   GSS_Init_sec_context, delegate credentials   to the acceptor.
Registration Rules	N/A
Reference	<u>RFC 2744</u>
Expert Reviewer	Kitten WG
Expert Review   Notes	
Status	Registered
Obsoleting   Reference	N/A
•	·

# **8.2**. Registration Maintenance Guidelines

Standards-Track RFCs can create new items with any non-conflicting Symbol Name/Prefix value for this registry by virtue of IESG approval to publish as a Standards-Track RFC -- that is, without additional expert review.

Standards-Track RFCs can mark existing entries as obsolete, and can even create conflicting entries if explicitly stated (the IESG, of course, should review conflicts carefully, and may reject them).

IANA shall also consider submissions from individuals, and via Informational and Experimental RFCs, subject to Expert Review. IANA SHALL allow such registrations if a) they are not conflicting, b) provided that the registration is for object types other than Context-Flags, and c) subject to expert review. Guidelines for expert reviews are given below.

#### 8.2.1. Sub-Namespace Symbol Pattern Matching

Sub-namespace registrations must provide a pattern for matching symbols for which the sub-namespace's registration rules apply. The pattern consists of a string with the following special tokens:

- o '\*', meaning "match any string."
- o "%m", meaning "match any mechanism family short-hand name."
- o "%i", meaning "match any implementor vanity short-hand name."

For example, "GSS\_%m\_\*" matches "GSS\_krb5\_foo" since "krb5" is a common short-hand for the Kerberos V GSS-API mechanism [RFC1964]. But "GSS\_%m\_\*" does not match "GSS\_foo\_bar" unless "foo" is asserted to be a short-hand for some mechanism.

## 8.2.2. Expert Reviews of Individual Submissions

[[The following paragraph should be deleted from the document before publication, as it will not age well. It should be moved to the shepherding write-up.]]

Expert review selection SHALL be done as follows. If, at the time that the IANA receives an individual submission for registration in this registry, there are any IETF Working Groups chartered to produce GSS-API-related documents, then the IANA SHALL ask the chairs of such WGs to be expert reviewers or to name one. If there are no such WGs at that time, then the IANA SHALL ask past chairs of the KITTEN WG and the author/editor of this RFC to act as expert reviewers or name an alternate.

Expert reviewers of individual registration submissions with Registration Type == Sub-namespace should check that the registration request has a suitable description (which doesn't need to be sufficiently detailed for others to implement) and that the Symbol Name/Prefix is sufficiently descriptive of the purpose of the subnamespace or reflective of the name of the submitter or associated company.

Expert reviewers of individual registration submissions with

Registration Type == Instance should check that the Symbol Name falls under a sub-namespace controlled by the submitter. Registration of such entries which do not fall under such a sub-namespace may be allowed provided that they correspond to long existing non-standard extensions to the GSS-API and this can be easily checked or demonstrated, otherwise IESG Protocol Action is REQUIRED (see previous section). Also, reviewers should check that any registration of constant values have a detailed description that is suitable for other implementors to reproduce, and that they don't conflict with other usages or are otherwise dangerous in the reviewers estimation.

Expert reviewers should review impact on mechanisms, security and interoperability, and may reject or annotate registrations which can have mechanism impact that requires IESG protocol action. Consider, for example, new versions of GSS\_Init\_sec\_context() and/or GSS\_Accept\_sec\_context which have new input and/or output parameters which imply changes on the wire or in behaviour that may result in interoperability issues. A reviewer could choose to add notes to the registration describing such issues, or the reviewer might conclude that the danger to Internet interoperability is sufficient to warrant rejecting the registration.

# 8.2.3. Change Control

Registered entries may be marked obsoleted using the same expert review process as for registering new entries. Obsoleted entries are not, however, to be deleted, but merely marked having Obsoleted Status. Note that entries may be created as obsoleted to record the fact that the given symbol(s) have been used before, even though continued use of them is discouraged.

Registered entries may also be updated in two other ways: additional references, obsoleting references, and descriptions may be added.

All changes are subject to expert review, except for changes to registrations in a sub-namespace which are subject to the rules of the relevant sub-namespace. The submitter of a change request need not be the same as the original submitter.

Registrations may be modified by addition, but under no circumstance may any fields be modified except for the Status field or Contact Address, or to correct for transcription errors in filing or processing registration requests.

The IANA SHALL add a field describing the date that a an addition or modification was made, and a description of the change.

#### 9. Security Considerations

General security considerations relating to IANA registration services apply; see [RFC5226].

Also, expert reviewers should look for and may document security related issues with submitters' GSS-API extensions, to the best of the reviewers' ability given the information furnished by the submitter. Reviewers may add comments regarding their limited ability to review a submission for security problems if the submitter is unwilling to provide sufficient documentation.

## 10. References

#### 10.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate
  Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119,
  DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997,
  <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>.
- [RFC2743] Linn, J., "Generic Security Service Application Program
  Interface Version 2, Update 1", RFC 2743,
  DOI 10.17487/RFC2743, January 2000,
  <a href="http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2743">http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2743</a>>.

#### 10.2. Informative References

- [RFC2853] Kabat, J. and M. Upadhyay, "Generic Security Service API
   Version 2 : Java Bindings", RFC 2853,
   DOI 10.17487/RFC2853, June 2000,
   <a href="http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2853">http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2853</a>.

[RFC4121] Zhu, L., Jaganathan, K., and S. Hartman, "The Kerberos Version 5 Generic Security Service Application Program Interface (GSS-API) Mechanism: Version 2", <a href="RFC 4121">RFC 4121</a>, DOI 10.17487/RFC4121, July 2005, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4121>.

## Authors' Addresses

Nicolas Williams Cryptonector LLC

Email: nico@cryptonector.com

Alexey Melnikov Isode Ltd 5 Castle Business Village 36 Station Road Hampton, Middlesex TW12 2BX UK

Email: Alexey.Melnikov@isode.com