Network Working Group Internet-Draft

Intended status: Standards Track

Expires: May 25, 2013

A. Gulbrandsen

N. Freed 0racle

November 21, 2012

Internet Message Access Protocol (IMAP) - MOVE Extension draft-ietf-imapmove-command-03

Abstract

This document defines an IMAP extension consisting of two new commands, MOVE and UID MOVE, that are used to move messages from one mailbox to another.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on May 25, 2013.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2012 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

1. Introduction

This document defines an IMAP [RFC3501] extension to facilitate moving messages from one mailbox to another. This is accomplished by defining a new MOVE command and extending the UID command to allow UID MOVE.

A move function is not provided in the base IMAP specification, so clients have instead had to use a combination of the UID STORE, UID COPY and EXPUNGE commands to perform this very common operation. This has meant needing to cope with partial failures and side effects that can occur when multiple commands are involved.

The MOVE extension is present in any IMAP4 implementation which returns "MOVE" as one of the supported capabilities to the CAPABILITY command.

2. Conventions Used in This Document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

Formal syntax is specified using ABNF [RFC5234].

Example lines prefaced by "C:" are sent by the client and ones prefaced by "S:" by the server.

3. MOVE and UID MOVE

3.1. MOVE Command

Arguments: sequence set

mailbox name

Responses: no specific responses for this command

Result: OK - move completed

NO - move error: can't move those messages or to that name

BAD - command unknown or arguments invalid

3.2. UID MOVE Command

This extends the first form of the UID command (see [RFC3501], Section 6.4.8) to add the MOVE command, defined above, as a valid argument.

3.3. Semantics of MOVE and UID MOVE

The MOVE command takes two arguments: a message set (sequence numbers for MOVE, UIDs for UID MOVE) and a named mailbox. Each message included in the set is moved, rather than copied, from the selected (source) mailbox to the named (target) mailbox.

This means that a new message is created in the target mailbox, with a new UID, the original message is removed from the source mailbox, and it appears to the client as a single action. This has the same effect for each message as this sequence:

- 1. [UID] COPY
- 2. [UID] STORE +FLAGS.SILENT \DELETED
- UID EXPUNGE

Because a MOVE applies to a set of messages, it might fail partway through the set. Regardless of whether the command is successful in moving the entire set, each individual message SHOULD either be moved or unaffected. The server MUST leave each message in a state where it is in at least one of the source or target mailboxes (no message can be lost or orphaned). The server SHOULD NOT leave any message in both mailboxes (it would be bad for a partial failure to result in a bunch of duplicate messages). This is true even if the server returns a tagged NO response to the command.

Because of the similarity of MOVE to COPY, extensions that affect COPY affect MOVE in the same way. Response codes such TRYCREATE (see [RFC3501] Section 6.4.7), as well as those defined by extensions, are sent as appropriate. See Section 4 for more information about how MOVE interacts with other IMAP extensions.

An example:

C: a UID MOVE 42:69 forble

S: * OK [COPYUID 432432 42:69 1202:1229]

S: * 22 EXPUNGE

S: (more expunges)

S: a OK Done

Note that the server may send unrelated EXPUNGE messages as well, if any happen to have been expunged at the same time; this is normal IMAP operation.

Implementers will need to read $[{\tt RFC4315}]$ to understand what UID EXPUNGE does, though full implementation of $[{\tt RFC4315}]$ is not necessary.

Note that moving a message to the currently selected mailbox (that is, where the source and target mailboxes are the same) is allowed when copying the message to the currently selected mailbox.

The server may send EXPUNGE (or VANISHED) messages before the tagged response, so the client cannot safely send more commands with message sequence number arguments while the server is processing MOVE. The UID MOVE command does not have this limitation.

Both MOVE and UID MOVE can be pipelined. However, pipelined MOVE and UID MOVE commands MUST NOT specify overlapping sets of messages.

4. Interaction with other extensions

This section describes how MOVE interacts with some other IMAP extensions.

4.1. RFC 2087, QUOTA

The QUOTA extension (defined by [RFC2087]) may interact with MOVE, on some servers, in the sense that a MOVE command may succeed where COPY would cause a quota overrun.

4.2. RFC 4314, ACL

The ACL rights [RFC4314] required for the UID MOVE are the union of the ACL rights required for UID STORE, UID COPY and UID EXPUNGE.

4.3. RFC 4315, UIDPLUS

Servers supporting UIDPLUS [RFC4315] MUST send COPYUID in response to a UID MOVE command.

Servers implementing UIDPLUS are also advised to send the COPYUID response code in an untagged OK before sending EXPUNGE for moved messages. (Sending COPYUID in the tagged OK, as described in the UIDPLUS specification, means that clients first receive an EXPUNGE for a message and afterwards COPYUID for the same message. It can be unnecessarily difficult to process that sequence usefully.)

4.4. RFC 5162, QRESYNC

The QRESYNC extension [RFC5162] states that the server SHOULD send VANISHED rather than the EXPUNGE in response to the UID EXPUNGE command. The same requirement applies to MOVE, and a QRESYNC-enabled client needs to handle both VANISHED and EXPUNGE responses to a UID MOVE command.

4.5. IMAP Events in Sieve

MOVE applies to IMAP events in Sieve [RFC6785] in the same way as COPY does. Therefore, MOVE can cause a Sieve script to be invoked with the imap.cause set to "COPY". Because MOVE does not cause flags to be changed, a MOVE command will not result in a script invocation with the imap.cause set to "FLAG".

5. Formal Syntax

The following syntax specification uses the Augmented Backus-Naur Form (ABNF) notation as specified in [RFC5234]. [RFC3501] defines the non-terminals "capability", "command-select", "sequence-set" and "mailbox".

Except as noted otherwise, all alphabetic characters are case-insensitive. The use of upper or lower case characters to define token strings is for editorial clarity only. Implementations MUST accept these strings in a case-insensitive fashion.

```
capability =/ "MOVE"

command-select =/ move
move = "MOVE" SP sequence-set SP mailbox
uid = "UID" SP (copy / fetch / search / store / move)
```

6. Security Considerations

MOVE does not introduce any new capabilities to IMAP, and this limits the security impact. However, the transactional semantics of MOVE may interact with specific implementations in ways that could have unexpected consequences. For example, moving messages between mailboxes under the quota root may require temporary suspension of quota checking.

An additional area of concern is interaction with antispam, antivirus, and other security scanning and auditing mechanisms. Different mailboxes may have different security policies which could interact with MOVE in complex ways. Scanning with updated rules may also be required when messages are moved even when the underlying policy has not changed.

MOVE does relieve a problem with the base specification, since client authors currently have to devise and implement complicated algorithms to handle partial failures of the STORE/COPY/EXPUNGE trio. Incomplete or improper implementation of these algorithms can lead to mail loss.

7. IANA Considerations

The IANA is requested to add MOVE to the "IMAP 4 Capabilities" registry, http://www.iana.org/assignments/imap4-capabilities.

8. Acknowledgments

An extension like this has been proposed many times, by many people. This document is based on several of those, most recently that by Witold Krecicki. Witold, Adrien W. de Croy, Bron Gondwana, Dan Karp, Christian Ketterer, Murray Kucherawy, Jan Kundrat, Barry Leiba, Alexey Melnikov, Kathleen Moriarty, Michael Slusarz, and others provided valuable comments.

9. References

9.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
- [RFC3501] Crispin, M., "INTERNET MESSAGE ACCESS PROTOCOL VERSION 4rev1", RFC 3501, March 2003.
- [RFC4314] Melnikov, A., "IMAP4 Access Control List (ACL) Extension", RFC 4314, December 2005.
- [RFC4315] Crispin, M., "Internet Message Access Protocol (IMAP) -UIDPLUS extension", RFC 4315, December 2005.
- [RFC5234] Crocker, D. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", STD 68, RFC 5234, January 2008.

9.2. Informative References

- [RFC2087] Myers, J., "IMAP4 QUOTA extension", <u>RFC 2087</u>, January 1997.
- [RFC6785] Leiba, B., "Support for Internet Message Access Protocol (IMAP) Events in Sieve", RFC 6785, November 2012.

Appendix A. Change History

RFC Editor: Please delete this section from the final RFC.

A.1. Changes since -00

- 1. Fixed two bad nouns. Mailboxes aren't messages.
- Adrien's server can easily do UID MOVE but not so easily MSNbased moves.

A.2. Changes since -01

- Changed to Informative, on Barry's suggestion. Or did I ask him? Whatever.
- 2. Removed the 'reasons to avoid', it was doubleplusungood.

A.3. Changes since draft-gulbrandsen-imap-move-02

- 1. Various wording changes from Barry's review.
- 2. Open issue: Delete the \deleted rule?
- 3. Back to PS, informative didn't fly in the IESG
- 4. Turned into a WG document in order to get write access to the IMAP4 capabilities registry
- 5. Mention VANISHED in 5162
- 6. Added bad boilerplate to please idnits. This document contains no code.

A.4. Changes since -00

- 1. Added MSN-based move. The consensus seems mildly in favour. I think. We'll see once this is posted.
- 2. Advise sending COPYUID earlier, to help clients. Requiring out of order processing is unnecessarily nasty.
- 3. Note that moving to the source inbox has to work. I think it does have to work, but this is a draft, it says so on every page.

A.5. Changes since -01

- 1. (Issue tracker #1) Changed command-select ABNF to conform with the conventions used in RFC 3501.
- 2. (Issue tracker #2) Banned overlapped pipelined MOVE and UID MOVE.
- 3. (Issue tracker #3) Added section about interaction with IMAP Sieve.
- (Issue tracker #4) Revised security considerations. 4.
- 5. (Issue tracker #5) Removed text that characterized MOVE as the same as COPY/STORE/EXPUNGE.
- (Issue tracker #6) RFC 4314 is now a normative reference. 6.
- 7. (Issue tracker #7) Major rewrite of the command description text as a result of AD review.
- 8. (Issue tracker #8) Revised abstract.
- 9. (Issue tracker #9) Added text saying partial failures are allowed.
- 10. (Issue tracker #10) Some additional tweaks to the security considerations section were made.
- 11. The abstract and introduction were out of whack as a result of other changes, so some revisions were made to bring them back into sync.

A.6. Changes since -02

- 1. Corrected various typos, clarified several parapgraphs discussing MOVE semantics.
- 2. Added the usual text about the extension only being available when it is reported by the CAPABILITIES command.
- 3. Revised the text about QRESYNC to make clear what the requirements are.
- 4. Removed a suggestion about MUA/user behavior from the discussion of the QUOTA extension.
- 5. Updated the main and running title to conform to other IMAP RFCs.

Authors' Addresses

Arnt Gulbrandsen Schweppermannstr. 8 D-81671 Muenchen Germany

Fax: +49 89 4502 9758

Email: arnt@qulbrandsen.priv.no

Ned Freed (editor) 0racle 800 Royal Oaks Monrovia, CA 91016-6347 USA

Email: ned+ietf@mrochek.com