Internet Engineering Task Force Internet-Draft

Intended status: Standards Track

Expires: October 20, 2016

0. Sury CZ.NIC R. Edmonds Farsight Security, Inc. April 18, 2016

# EdDSA for DNSSEC draft-ietf-curdle-dnskey-eddsa-00

#### Abstract

This document describes how to specify EdDSA keys and signatures in DNS Security (DNSSEC). It uses the Edwards-curve Digital Security Algorithm (EdDSA) with the choice of two curves, Ed25519 and Ed448.

#### Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of  $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$  78 and  $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$  79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <a href="http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/">http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/</a>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on October 20, 2016.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to <a href="BCP-78">BCP 78</a> and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents
(<a href="http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info">http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</a>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

#### Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Introduction							<u>2</u>
<u>2</u> .	Requirements Language							2
<u>3</u> .	DNSKEY Resource Records							2
<u>4</u> .	RRSIG Resource Records							<u>3</u>
5.	Algorithm Number for DS, DNSKEY and RRSIG Res	our	^ce	Re	eco	rd	S	3
<u>6</u> .	Examples							3
6.	<u>6.1</u> . Ed25519 Examples							<u>3</u>
6.	<u>6.2</u> . Ed448 Example							4
<u>7</u> .	Acknowledgements							<u>5</u>
<u>8</u> .	IANA Considerations							<u>5</u>
<u>9</u> .	Implementation Status							<u>6</u>
<u> 10</u> .	O. Security Considerations							<u>6</u>
<u>11</u> .	. References							7
11	<pre>11.1. Normative References</pre>							<u>7</u>
11	11.2. Informative References							7
Auth	ıthors' Addresses							8

## 1. Introduction

DNSSEC, which is broadly defined in [RFC4033], [RFC4034], and [RFC4035], uses cryptographic keys and digital signatures to provide authentication of DNS data. Currently, the most popular signature algorithm in use is RSA. GOST ([RFC5933]) and NIST-specified elliptic curve cryptography ([RFC6605]) are also standardized.

[I-D.irtf-cfrg-eddsa] describes the elliptic curve signature system EdDSA and recommends two curves, Ed25519 and Ed448.

This document defines the use of DNSSEC's DS, DNSKEY, and RRSIG resource records (RRs) with a new signing algorithm, Edwards-curve Digital Signature Algorithm (EdDSA) using a choice of two curves, Ed25519 and Ed448.

# 2. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

### 3. DNSKEY Resource Records

An Ed25519 public key consists of a 32-octet value, which is encoded into the Public Key field of a DNSKEY resource record as a simple bit string. The generation of a public key is defined in Chapter 5.1.5 in [I-D.irtf-cfrq-eddsa].

An Ed448 public key consists of a 57-octet value, which is encoded into the Public Key field of a DNSKEY resource record as a simple bit string. The generation of a public key is defined in Chapter 5.2.5 in [I-D.irtf-cfrq-eddsal.

### 4. RRSIG Resource Records

In Chapter 10.3 in [I-D.irtf-cfrg-eddsa], the use of a context label is described. EdDSA signatures in this scheme use the 17-octet context label 'DNSSEC SIGNATURE\0' (where \0 represents a zero-valued octet).

(Note: Only Ed448 has the Context specified. Before publishing the final draft we need to specify what to do with Ed25519 Context.)

An Ed25519 signature consists of a 64-octet value, which is encoded into the Signature field of an RRSIG resource record as a simple bit string. The Ed25519 signature algorithm is described in Chapter 5.1.6 in [I-D.irtf-cfrg-eddsa].

An Ed448 signature consists of a 114-octet value, which is encoded into the Signature field of an RRSIG resource record as a simple bit string. The Ed448 signature algorithm is described in Chapter 5.2.6 and verification of the Ed448 signature is described in Chapter 5.2.7 in [I-D.irtf-cfrg-eddsa].

## 5. Algorithm Number for DS, DNSKEY and RRSIG Resource Records

The algorithm number associated with the use of Ed25519 in DS, DNSKEY and RRSIG resource records is TBD. The algorithm number associated with the use of Ed448 in DS, DNSKEY and RRSIG resource records is TBD. This registration is fully defined in the IANA Considerations section.

## Examples

## **6.1.** Ed25519 Examples

This section needs an update after the algorithm for Ed25519 is

assigned. Private-key-format: v1.2 Algorithm: TBD (ED25519) PrivateKey: ODIyNjAzODQ2MjgwODAxMjI2NDUxOTAyMDQxNDIyNjI= # corresponding to 82260384628080122645190204142262 INT example.com. 3600 IN DNSKEY 257 3 TBD ( l02Woi0iS8Aa25FQkUd9RMzZHJpBoRQwAQEX1SxZJA4= ) example.com. 3600 IN DS 3613 TBD 2 ( 3aa5ab37efce57f737fc1627013fee07bdf241bd10f3 b1964ab55c78e79a304b ) www.example.com. 3600 IN A 192.0.2.1 www.example.com. 3600 IN RRSIG A TBD 3 3600 ( 20150820000000 20150730000000 3613 example.com. cvTRVrU7dwnemQuBq9/E4tlIiRpvWcEmYdzqs6SCQxw6 qmczBBQGldssMx1TCJnwsEs9ZuA2phPzuJNoon9BCA== ) Private-key-format: v1.2 Algorithm: TBD (ED25519) PrivateKey: DSSF3o0s0f+ElWzj9E/Osxw8hLpk55chkmx0LYN5WiY= example.com. 3600 IN DNSKEY 257 3 TBD ( zPnZ/QwEe7S8C5SPz20fS5RR40ATk2/rYnE9xHIEijs= ) example.com. 3600 IN DS 55648 TBD 2 ( 96401675bc7ecdd541ec0f70d69238c7b95d3bd4de1e 231a068ceb214d02a4ed ) www.example.com. 3600 IN A 192.0.2.1 www.example.com. 3600 IN RRSIG A TBD 3 3600 ( 20150820000000 20150730000000 35452 example.com. yuGb9rCNIuhDaRJbuhYHj89Y/3Pi8KWUm7l0t00ivVRGvgulmVX8DqpE AFyMP2MKXJrqYJr+ViiCIDwc0IbPAQ==)

#### **6.2.** Ed448 Example

This section needs an update after the algorithm for Ed448 is assigned.

```
Private-key-format: v1.2
Algorithm: TBD (ED448)
PrivateKey: TBD
example.com. 3600 IN DNSKEY 257 3 TBD (
        TBD )
example.com. 3600 IN DS 3613 TBD 2 (
        TBD )
www.example.com. 3600 IN A 192.0.2.1
www.example.com. 3600 IN RRSIG A TBD 3 3600 (
        20150820000000 20150730000000 3613 example.com.
        TBD )
Private-key-format: v1.2
Algorithm: TBD (ED448)
PrivateKey: TBD
example.com. 3600 IN DNSKEY 257 3 TBD (
        TBD )
example.com. 3600 IN DS 55648 TBD 2 (
        TBD )
www.example.com. 3600 IN A 192.0.2.1
www.example.com. 3600 IN RRSIG A TBD 3 3600 (
        20150820000000 20150730000000 35452 example.com.
        TBD )
```

### Acknowledgements

Some of the material in this document is copied liberally from  $[\mbox{RFC6605}].$ 

The authors of this document wish to thank Jan Vcelak, Pieter Lexis and Kees Monshouwer for a review of this document.

### 8. IANA Considerations

This document updates the IANA registry "Domain Name System Security (DNSSEC) Algorithm Numbers". The following entry has been added to the registry:

+		+ -		+ -	+	F
I	Number	l	TBD	l	TBD	l
ĺ	Description		Ed25519		Ed448	
	Mnemonic		ED25519		Ed448	
ĺ	Zone Signing		Υ		Υ	
	Trans. Sec.		*		*	
	Reference		This document		This document	
+		+.		۰.		L

<sup>\*</sup> There has been no determination of standardization of the use of this algorithm with Transaction Security.

## 9. Implementation Status

(Note to the RFC Editor: please remove this entire section as well as the reference to  $\frac{RFC\ 6982}{6982}$  before publication.)

This section records the status of known implementations of the protocol defined by this specification at the time of posting of this Internet-Draft, and is based on a proposal described in [RFC6982]. The description of implementations in this section is intended to assist the IETF in its decision processes in progressing drafts to RFCs. Please note that the listing of any individual implementation here does not imply endorsement by the IETF. Furthermore, no effort has been spent to verify the information presented here that was supplied by IETF contributors. This is not intended as, and must not be construed to be, a catalog of available implementations or their features. Readers are advised to note that other implementations may exist.

According to [RFC6982], "this will allow reviewers and working groups to assign due consideration to documents that have the benefit of running code, which may serve as evidence of valuable experimentation and feedback that have made the implemented protocols more mature. It is up to the individual working groups to use this information as they see fit".

# 10. Security Considerations

The security level of Ed25519 is slightly under the standard 128-bit level and the security level of Ed448 is slightly under the standard 224-bit level ([RFC7748]). Security considerations listed in [RFC7748] also apply to the usage of Ed25519 and Ed448 in DNSSEC. Such an assessment could, of course, change in the future if new attacks that work better than the ones known today are found.

#### 11. References

#### 11.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate
  Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119,
  DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997,
  <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>.

- [RFC4035] Arends, R., Austein, R., Larson, M., Massey, D., and S.
  Rose, "Protocol Modifications for the DNS Security
  Extensions", RFC 4035, DOI 10.17487/RFC4035, March 2005,
  <a href="http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4035">http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4035</a>>.
- [RFC7748] Langley, A., Hamburg, M., and S. Turner, "Elliptic Curves for Security", RFC 7748, DOI 10.17487/RFC7748, January 2016, <a href="http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7748">http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7748</a>>.

### 11.2. Informative References

- [RFC5933] Dolmatov, V., Ed., Chuprina, A., and I. Ustinov, "Use of GOST Signature Algorithms in DNSKEY and RRSIG Resource Records for DNSSEC", RFC 5933, DOI 10.17487/RFC5933, July 2010, <a href="http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5933">http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5933</a>.
- [RFC6605] Hoffman, P. and W. Wijngaards, "Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (DSA) for DNSSEC", RFC 6605, DOI 10.17487/RFC6605, April 2012, <a href="http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6605">http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6605</a>>.

[RFC6982] Sheffer, Y. and A. Farrel, "Improving Awareness of Running Code: The Implementation Status Section", <a href="https://example.com/ref-2482">RFC 6982</a>, DOI 10.17487/RFC6982, July 2013, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6982>.

# Authors' Addresses

Ondrej Sury CZ.NIC Milesovska 1136/5 Praha 130 00 CZ

Phone: +420 222 745 111 Email: ondrej.sury@nic.cz

Robert Edmonds Farsight Security, Inc. 177 Bovet Rd #180 San Mateo, California 94402 US

Phone: +1 650 489 7919 Email: edmonds@fsi.io