

QUIC Working Group  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Informational  
Expires: March 9, 2018

P. Tiesel  
Berlin Institute of Technology  
September 05, 2017

**Unreliable Transmission Extension for HTTP/2 over QUIC**  
**draft-tiesel-quic-unreliable-http-00**

Abstract

This draft outlines methods for requesting unreliable delivery of HTTP response bodies over QUIC with unreliable streams specified in [[I-D.tiesel-quic-unreliable-streams](#)].

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on March 9, 2018.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2017 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Conventions and Definitions . . . . .	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	Introduction . . . . .	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	General Concept . . . . .	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	Requesting Unreliable transmission . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	Stream Mapping . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">5.1.</a>	Stream Mapping for <a href="#">draft-ietf-quic-http-04</a> . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">5.2.</a>	Stream Mapping for <a href="#">draft-ietf-quic-http-05</a> . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	Security Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	IANA Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">8.</a>	References . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">8.1.</a>	Normative References . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">8.2.</a>	Informative References . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
	Author's Address . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>

## [1.](#) Conventions and Definitions

The words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", and "MAY" are used in this document. It's not shouting; when these words are capitalized, they have a special meaning as defined in [[RFC2119](#)].

## [2.](#) Introduction

HTTP has become part of application protocols used for time sensitive applications such as video streaming and back-office ad auctions. These applications might have time constraints that can make retransmissions of lost frames useless. Some of these applications can operate on partially delivered messages, but waiting for retransmissions blocks the delivery of data after a gap in the stream by design.

This draft enables applications to request partial delivery of HTTP objects by allowing to disable retransmissions for HTTP response bodies.

## [3.](#) General Concept

This draft specifies a new HTTP header for requesting unreliable delivery of the HTTP request body. For answering requests including this header, the server uses unreliable QUIC streams as specified in [[I-D.tiesel-quic-unreliable-streams](#)] to transfer HTTP request bodies in a (partially) unreliable way. To use the regular HTTP client logic, headers are always transferred reliably.



#### 4. Requesting Unreliable transmission

By adding the following header, an HTTP client can request unreliable transmission of the response body

Transport-Response-Reliability: unreliable

In case unreliable transmission should only be used to prevent retransmissions after a certain deadline, the client has to add the following header

Transport-Response-Reliability: unreliable-after DATE

Where DATE is either a relative offset in milliseconds or a date as specified in [\[RFC7231\]](#) with optionally extending time-of-day to

```
time-of-day = hour ":" minute ":" second
              | hour ":" minute ":" second "." msec
```

In case of having requested unreliable delivery with the "unreliable-after" verb, retransmissions on that stream should be stopped after the time specified.

For unreliable delivery with using the "unreliable" verb, the server may use domain knowledge about the data transmitted to decide whether to retransmit parts of the data.

#### 5. Stream Mapping

The stream mapping scheme changes between versions -04 and -05 of [\[I-D.ietf-quic-http\]](#). While version -04 separates HTTP header and body into different QUIC streams, version -05 transports multiple HTTP/2 frames of different types within one stream. We present different stream mapping for these versions.

Note that [draft-ietf-quic-http-04](#) allows a simpler implementation as it does not require partial retransmission within an unreliable stream.

##### 5.1. Stream Mapping for [draft-ietf-quic-http-04](#)

The control stream MUST always use a reliable stream to ease state keeping.

When indicated by the "Transport-Response-Reliability" HTTP header, the server SHOULD open the data stream as unreliable stream.

## **5.2. Stream Mapping for [draft-ietf-quic-http-05](#)**

As a prerequisite to requesting unreliable delivery of HTTP objects, the client **MUST** open a stream used for the request as an unreliable stream. The "Transport-Response-Reliability" HTTP header sent over reliable streams **SHOULD** be ignored.

Despite opening the stream as an unreliable stream, all HTTP/QUIC frame headers, as well as the payload of "HEADERS" frames, **MUST** be transmitted reliably to re-use normal HTTP/2 application logic.

## **6. Security Considerations**

TBD

## **7. IANA Considerations**

TBD

## **8. References**

### **8.1. Normative References**

- [RFC7231] Fielding, R., Ed. and J. Reschke, Ed., "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Semantics and Content", [RFC 7231](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7231, June 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7231>>.
- [RFC7540] Belshe, M., Peon, R., and M. Thomson, Ed., "Hypertext Transfer Protocol Version 2 (HTTP/2)", [RFC 7540](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7540, May 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7540>>.

### **8.2. Informative References**

- [I-D.ietf-quic-http]  
Bishop, M., "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) over QUIC", [draft-ietf-quic-http-05](#) (work in progress), August 2017.
- [I-D.ietf-quic-transport]  
Iyengar, J. and M. Thomson, "QUIC: A UDP-Based Multiplexed and Secure Transport", [draft-ietf-quic-transport-05](#) (work in progress), August 2017.

[I-D.tiesel-quic-unreliable-streams]

Tiesel, P., Palmer, M., Chandrasekaran, B., Feldmann, A.,  
and J. Ott, "Considerations for Unreliable Streams in  
QUIC", [draft-tiesel-quic-unreliable-streams-00](#) (work in  
progress), September 2017.

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate  
Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#),  
DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <[https://www.rfc-  
editor.org/info/rfc2119](https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119)>.

#### Author's Address

Philipp S. Tiesel  
Berlin Institute of Technology  
Marchstr. 23  
Berlin  
Germany

Email: philipp@inet.tu-berlin.de