

Token Binding Working Group  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: January 25, 2019

G. Mandyam  
L. Lundblade  
J. Azen  
Qualcomm Technologies Inc.  
July 24, 2018

**Attested TLS Token Binding**  
**draft-mandyam-tokbind-attest-06**

Abstract

Token binding allows HTTP servers to bind bearer tokens to TLS connections. In order to do this, clients or user agents must prove possession of a private key. However, proof-of-possession of a private key becomes truly meaningful to a server when accompanied by an attestation statement. This specification describes extensions to the existing token binding protocol to allow for attestation statements to be sent along with the related token binding messages.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 25, 2019.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2018 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must

include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	<a href="#">Introduction</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	<a href="#">Attestation Enhancement to TLS Token Binding Message</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">2.1.</a>	<a href="#">KeyStore Attestation</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">2.1.1.</a>	<a href="#">Verification Procedures</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">2.2.</a>	<a href="#">TPMv2 Attestation</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">2.2.1.</a>	<a href="#">Verification Procedures</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	<a href="#">Extension Support Negotiation</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">3.1.</a>	<a href="#">Negotiating Token Binding Protocol Extensions</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	<a href="#">Example - Platform Attestation for Anomaly Detection</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	<a href="#">IANA Considerations</a>	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">5.1.</a>	<a href="#">TLS Extensions Registry</a>	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">5.2.</a>	<a href="#">Token Binding Extensions for Attestation</a>	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	<a href="#">Security and Privacy Considerations</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">6.1.</a>	<a href="#">Attestation Privacy Considerations</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	<a href="#">Acknowledgments</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">8.</a>	<a href="#">References</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">8.1.</a>	<a href="#">Normative References</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">8.2.</a>	<a href="#">Informative References</a>	<a href="#">10</a>
	<a href="#">Authors' Addresses</a>	<a href="#">10</a>

## [1.](#) Introduction

[I-D.ietf-tokbind-protocol] and [[I-D.ietf-tokbind-negotiation](#)] describe a framework whereby servers can leverage cryptographically-bound authentication tokens in part to create uniquely-identifiable TLS bindings that can span multiple connections between a client and a server. Once the use of token binding is negotiated as part of the TLS handshake, an application layer message (the Token Binding message) may be sent from the client to the relying party whose primary purpose is to encapsulate a signature over a value associated with the current TLS session. The payload used for the signature is the token binding public key (see [[I-D.ietf-tokbind-protocol](#)]). Use of the token binding public key allows for generation of the attestation signature once over the lifetime of the public key.

Proof-of-possession of a private key is useful to a relying party, but the associated signature in the Token Binding message does not provide an indication as to how the private key is stored and in what kind of environment the associated cryptographic operation takes place. This information may be required by a relying party in order to satisfy requirements regarding client platform integrity. Therefore, attestations are sometimes required by relying parties in



order for them to accept signatures from clients. As per the definition in [[I-D.birkholz-tuda](#)], "remote attestation describes the attempt to determine the integrity and trustworthiness of an endpoint -- the attestee -- over a network to another endpoint -- the verifier -- without direct access." Attestation statements are therefore widely used in any server verification operation that leverages client cryptography.

TLS token binding can therefore be enhanced with remote attestation statements. The attestation statement can be used to augment Token Binding message. This could be used by a relying party for several different purpose, including (1) to determine whether to accept token binding messages from the associated client, or (2) require an additional mechanism for binding the TLS connection to an authentication operation by the client.

## 2. Attestation Enhancement to TLS Token Binding Message

The attestation statement can be processed 'in-band' as part of the Token Binding Message itself. This document leverages the TokenBinding.extensions field of the Token Binding Message as described in Section 3.4 of [[I-D.ietf-tokbind-protocol](#)], where the extension data conforms to the guidelines of [Section 6.3](#) of the same document. The value of the extension, as required by this same section, is assigned per attestation type. The extension data takes the form of a CBOR (compact binary object representation) Data Definition Language construct, i.e. CDDL.

```
extension_data = {attestation}
attestation = (
    attestation_type: tstr,
    attestation_data: bstr,
)
```

The attestation data is determined according to the attestation type. In this document, the following types are defined: "KeyStore" (where the corresponding attestation data defined in [[Keystore](#)]) and "TPMv2" (where the corresponding attestation data defined in [[TPMv2](#)]). Additional attestation types may be accepted by the token binding implementation (for instance, see Section 8 of [[webauthn](#)]).

The attestation data will likely include a signature over a challenge (depending on the attestation type). The challenge can be used to prevent replay of the attestation. However since the attestation is itself part of the token binding message (which has its own anti-replay protection mechanism), the attestation signature need only be



generated over a known payload associated with the TLS token binding session - the token binding public key. As a result, the token binding client only needs to send the attestation once during the lifetime of the token binding public key. In other words, if an attestation is included in the token binding message, it should only be sent in the initial token binding message following the creation of the token binding key pair.

### **2.1. KeyStore Attestation**

Keystore attestation is relevant to the Android operating system. The Android Keystore mechanism allows for an application (such as a browser implementing the Token Binding stack) to create a key pair, export the public key, and protect the private key in a hardware-backed keystore. The Android Keystore can then be used to verify a keypair using the Keystore Attestation mechanism, which involves signing a payload according to a public key that chains to a root certificate signed by an attestation root key that is specific to the device manufacturer.

The octet value of the token binding extension that serves as identification for the Keystore attestation type is requested to be 0.

Keystore attestation provides a signature over a payload generated by the application. The payload is a SHA-256 hash of the token binding public key corresponding to the current TLS connection (see Section 3.3 of [[I-D.ietf-tokbind-protocol](#)]). Then the attestation takes the form of a signature, a signature-generation algorithmic identifier corresponding to the COSE algorithm registry ([\[cose\\_iana\]](#)), and a chain of DER-encoded x.509 certificates:

```
attestation_data = (  
    alg: int,  
    sig: bytes,  
    x5c: [credCert: bytes, *(caCert: bytes)]  
)
```

#### **2.1.1. Verification Procedures**

The steps at the server for verifying a Token Binding Keystore Attestation are:

- o Retrieve token binding public key for the current TLS connection, and compute its SHA-256 hash.



- o Verify that `attestation_data` is in the expected CBOR format.
- o Parse the first certificate listed in `x5c` and extract the public key and challenge. If the challenge does not match the SHA-256 hash of the token binding public key then the attestation is invalid.
- o If the challenge matches the expected hash of the token binding public key, verify the sig with respect to the extracted public key and algorithm from the previous step.
- o Verify the rest of the certificate chain up to the root. The root certificate must match the expected root for the device.

## **2.2. TPMv2 Attestation**

Version 2 of the Trusted Computing Group's Trusted Platform Module (TPM) specification provides for an attestation generated within the context of a TPM. The attestation then is defined as

```
attestation_data = (  
    alg: int,  
    tpmt_sig: bytes,  
    tpms_attest: bytes,  
    x5c: [credCert: bytes, *(caCert: bytes)]  
)
```

The `tpmt_sig` is generated over a `tpms_attest` structure signed with respect to the certificate chain provided in the `x5c` array, and the algorithmic identifier corresponding to the COSE algorithm registry ([[cose\\_iana](#)]). It is derived from the `TPMT_SIGNATURE` data structure defined in Section 11.3.4 of [[TPMv2](#)]. `tpms_attest` is derived from the `TPMS_ATTEST` data structure in Section 10.2.8 of [[TPMv2](#)], specifically with the `extraData` field being set to a SHA-256 hash of the token binding public key.

The octet value of the token binding extension that serves as identification for the TPMv2 attestation type is requested to be 1.

### **2.2.1. Verification Procedures**

The steps for verifying a Token Binding TPMv2 Attestation are:

- o Extract the token binding public key for the current TLS connection.





- o Verify that `attestation_data` is in the expected CBOR format.
- o Parse the first certificate listed in `x5c` and extract the public key.
- o Verify the `tpms_attest` structure, which includes
  - \* Verify that the `type` field is set to `TPM_ST_ATTEST_CERTIFY`.
  - \* Verify that `extraData` is equivalent to the SHA-256 hash of the token binding public key for the current TLS connection.
  - \* Verify that `magic` is set to the expected `TPM_GENERATED_VALUE` for the expected command sequence used to generate the attestation.
  - \* Verification of additional `TPMS_ATTEST` data fields is optional.
- o Verify `tpmt_sig` with respect to the public key provided in the first certificate in `x5c`, using the algorithm as specified in the `sigAlg` field (see Sections [11.3.4](#), [11.2.1.5](#) and [9.29](#) of [TPMv2]).

### 3. Extension Support Negotiation

Even if the client supports a Token Binding extension, it may not be desirable to send the extension if the server does not support it. The benefits of client-suppression of an extension could include saving of bits "over the wire" or simplified processing of the Token Binding message at the server. Currently, extension support is not communicated as part of the Token Binding extensions to TLS (see [\[I-D.ietf-tokbind-negotiation\]](#)).

It is proposed that the Client and Server Hello extensions defined in Sections [3](#) and [4](#) of [\[I-D.ietf-tokbind-negotiation\]](#) be extended so that endpoints can communicate their support for specific `TokenBinding.extensions`. With reference to [Section 3](#), it is recommended that the "token\_binding" TLS extension be augmented by the client to include supported `TokenBinding.extensions` as follows:

```
enum {
    attestation(0), (255)
} TokenBindingExtensions;

struct {
    TB_ProtocolVersion token_binding_version;
    TokenBindingKeyParameters key_parameters_list<1..2^8-1>;
    TokenBindingExtensions supported_extensions_list<1..2^8-1>
} TokenBindingParameters;
```

The "supported\_extensions\_list" contains the list of identifiers of all token binding message extensions supported by the client. A server supporting token binding extensions will respond in the server hello with an appropriate "token\_binding" extension that includes a "supported\_extensions\_list". This list must be a subset of the the extensions provided in the client hello.

Since a TLS extension cannot itself be extended, the "token\_binding" TLS extension cannot be reused. Therefore it is proposed that a new TLS extension be defined - "token\_binding\_with\_extensions". This TLS extension codepoint is identical to the existing "token\_binding" extension except for the additional data structures defined above.

### **3.1. Negotiating Token Binding Protocol Extensions**

The negotiation described in Section 4 of [\[I-D.ietf-tokbind-negotiation\]](#) still applies, except now the "token\_binding\_with\_extensions" codepoint would be used if the client supports any token binding extension. In addition, a client can receive a "supported\_extensions\_list" from the server as part of the server hello. The client must terminate the handshake if the "supported\_extensions\_list" received from the server is not a subset of the "supported\_extensions\_list" sent by the client in the client hello. If the server hello list of supported extensions is a subset of the client supported extensions, then the client must only send those extensions specified in the server hello in the Token Binding protocol. If the server hello does not include a "supported\_extensions\_list", then the client must not send any extensions along with the Token Binding Message.

## **4. Example - Platform Attestation for Anomaly Detection**

An example of where a platform-based attestation is useful can be for remote attestation based on client traffic anomaly detection. Many network infrastructure deployments employ network traffic monitors for anomalous pattern detection. Examples of anomalous patterns detectable in the TLS handshake could be unexpected cipher suite



negotiation for a given source/destination pairing. In this case, it may be desirable for a client-enhanced attestation reflecting for instance that an expected offered cipher suite in the client hello message is present or the originating browser integrity is intact (e.g. through a hash over the browser application package). If the network traffic monitor can interpret the attestation included in the token binding message, then it can verify the attestation and potentially emit alerts based on an unexpected attestation.

## **5. IANA Considerations**

This memo includes the following requests to IANA.

### **5.1. TLS Extensions Registry**

This document proposes an update of the TLS "ExtensionType Values" registry. The following addition to the registry is requested:

Value: TBD

Extension name: token\_binding\_with\_extensions

Reference: this document

Recommended: Yes

### **5.2. Token Binding Extensions for Attestation**

This document proposes two extensions conformant with Section 6.3 of [[I-D.ietf-tokbind-protocol](#)], with the following specifics:

Android Keystore Attestation:

- o Value: 0
- o Description: Android Keystore Attestation
- o Specification: This document

TPM v2 Attestation:

- o Value: 1
- o Description: TPMv2 Attestation
- o Specification: This document

## 6. Security and Privacy Considerations

The security and privacy considerations provided in Section 7 of [I-D.ietf-tokbind-protocol] are applicable to the attestation extensions proposed in this document. Additional considerations are provided in this section.

### 6.1. Attestation Privacy Considerations

The root signing key for the certificate chain used in verifying an attestation can be unique to the device. As a result, this can be used to track a device and/or end user. This potential privacy issue can be mitigated by the use of batch keys as an alternative to unique keys, or by generation of origin-specific attestation keys.

The attestation data may also contain device-specific identifiers, or information that can be used to fingerprint a device. Sensitive information can be excluded from the attestation data when this is a concern.

## 7. Acknowledgments

Thanks to Andrei Popov for his detailed review and recommendations.

## 8. References

### 8.1. Normative References

[cose\_iana]

Internet Assigned Numbers Authority, "COSE Algorithms", <<https://www.iana.org/assignments/cose/cose.xhtml#algorithms>>.

[I-D.greevenbosch-appsawg-cbor-cddl]

Birkholz, H., Vigano, C., and C. Bormann, "Concise data definition language (CDDL): a notational convention to express CBOR data structures", [draft-greevenbosch-appsawg-cbor-cddl-11](#) (work in progress), July 2017.

[I-D.ietf-tokbind-https]

Popov, A., Nystrom, M., Balfanz, D., Langley, A., Harper, N., and J. Hodges, "Token Binding over HTTP", [draft-ietf-tokbind-https-12](#) (work in progress), January 2018.

**[I-D.ietf-tokbind-negotiation]**

Popov, A., Nystrom, M., Balfanz, D., and A. Langley,  
"Transport Layer Security (TLS) Extension for Token  
Binding Protocol Negotiation", [draft-ietf-tokbind-negotiation-10](#) (work in progress), October 2017.

**[I-D.ietf-tokbind-protocol]**

Popov, A., Nystrom, M., Balfanz, D., Langley, A., and J.  
Hodges, "The Token Binding Protocol Version 1.0", [draft-ietf-tokbind-protocol-16](#) (work in progress), October 2017.

**[Keystore]**

Google Inc., "Verifying hardware-backed key pairs with Key  
Attestation",  
<[https://developer.android.com/training/articles/  
security-key-attestation](https://developer.android.com/training/articles/security-key-attestation)>.

**[TPMv2]**

The Trusted Computing Group, "Trusted Platform Module  
Library, Part 2: Structures", September 2016,  
<[http://www.trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/  
TPM-Rev-2.0-Part-2-Structures-01.38.pdf](http://www.trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/TPM-Rev-2.0-Part-2-Structures-01.38.pdf)>.

**[webauthn]**

The Worldwide Web Consortium, "Web Authentication: An API  
for accessing Scoped Credentials",  
<<https://www.w3.org/TR/webauthn/>>.

**8.2. Informative References****[I-D.birkholz-tuda]**

Fuchs, A., Birkholz, H., McDonald, I., and C. Bormann,  
"Time-Based Uni-Directional Attestation", [draft-birkholz-tuda-02](#) (work in progress), July 2016.

**Authors' Addresses**

Giridhar Mandyam  
Qualcomm Technologies Inc.  
5775 Morehouse Drive  
San Diego, California 92121  
USA

Phone: +1 858 651 7200  
Email: [mandyam@qti.qualcomm.com](mailto:mandyam@qti.qualcomm.com)





Laurence Lundblade  
Qualcomm Technologies Inc.  
5775 Morehouse Drive  
San Diego, California 92121  
USA

Phone: +1 858 658 3584  
Email: llundbla@qti.qualcomm.com

Jon Azen  
Qualcomm Technologies Inc.  
5775 Morehouse Drive  
San Diego, California 92121  
USA

Phone: +1 858 651 9476  
Email: jazen@qti.qualcomm.com